



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/554,222	10/24/2005	Toshihito Miyama	P28518	7957
7055	7590	07/15/2010	EXAMINER	
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE RESTON, VA 20191				ECHELMEYER, ALIX ELIZABETH
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1795				
		NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE
		07/15/2010		ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com  
pto@gbpatent.com

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/554,222	MIYAMA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Alix Elizabeth Echelmeyer	1795	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 June 2010.  
 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-32 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 1-12 and 33 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .                                    |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .                        |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Response***

1. This Office Action is in response to the request for reconsideration filed June 29, 2010. The arguments have been considered and found to be persuasive. However, a new rejection is made, below.

### ***Information Disclosure Statement***

2. Applicant's arguments concerning the consideration of the EP 0771589 document have not been found to be persuasive. The Search Report, filed on January 13, 2010, indicates that EP 0771589 is an A reference, and indicates that "\*the whole document\*" is relevant. Applicant will recognize that an "A" designation is merely used to show technological background, so in this instance the document does not actually apply to reject the claims. Furthermore, the US patent merely claims priority to the reference, but since the examiner cannot read the EP 0771589 reference, it is unclear whether the US patent is commensurate in scope with the EP 0771589 reference, or if merely portions of the US patent claim priority to the document. It is impossible to tell how much of the EP 0771589 reference is included in the content of the US patent.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

Art Unit: 1795

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-8 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Honma et al. (US 2003/0003340).

Honma et al. teach a proton-conducting membrane (abstract). The membrane contains a support comprising an organic-inorganic compound structure ( $\alpha$ ), or an organic material which is three-dimensionally crosslinked with a structure containing a silicon-oxygen bond, and a proton conducting structure ( $\beta$ ), or acid for imparting proton conductivity, filling the support ([0036]).

Honma et al. teach a phase-separated structure wherein the proton conducting structure ( $\beta$ ) forms continuous ion-conducting paths through the support ([0038]). Though Honma et al. do not explicitly call the paths pores, the skilled artisan will recognize that passageways, or pores, are formed within the crosslinked structure ( $\alpha$ ) in which the proton conducting structure ( $\beta$ ) is found for transporting ions through the membrane.

As for claims 2, 3 and 6, Honma et al. teach the claimed support material ([0124], [0163], [0164]).

With regard to claims 4 and 5, it is seen in Figure 4 the size and volume of the pores ([0253]).

As for claims 7 and 8, Honma et al. teach varying the number of Si-O groups, which corresponds to "X" of Formula 1 of the instant claims ([0124]).

Regarding claim 33, the membrane is used in a fuel cell ([0033]).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Honma et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and in further view of Curlier et al. (US 2004/0197613).

The teachings of Honma et al. as discussed above are incorporated herein.

Honma et al. teach the proton conducting element containing sulfonic acid ([0110]) but fail to teach the claimed formula.

Curlier et al. teach a porous cross-linked membrane having a silicon-containing acid group attached to the cross-linked material ([0204]). When the silicon-containing acid group is attached to the cross-linked material of Honma et al., such as taught by Curlier et al., the structure of claim 12 results.

The skilled artisan would recognize that it would be desirable to attach the proton conducting material to the membrane, since it would prevent the material from becoming disturbed during the operation of the fuel cell.

***Double Patenting***

7. Claims 1, 6, 9 and 10 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 1, 2, 8, and 9 of U. S. Patent No. 7,214,756 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: the claims of '756 include the claimed cross-linked support with the claimed proton conducting structure (see above).

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alix Elizabeth Echelmeyer whose telephone number is (571)272-1101. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1795

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/PATRICK RYAN/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795

Alix Elizabeth Echelmeyer  
Examiner  
Art Unit 1795

aee